

Foulger Consulting

1025 Paradise Way Palo Alto, CA 943062637, USA Tel: 650/4932553 Cell: 650/9968886 FAX: 866/5326907 gillian@foulgerconsulting.com http://www.foulgerconsulting.com/

November 12, 2014

WEEKLY REPORT #6 TO ALTAROCK ENERGY INC.

PROCESSING OF INDUCED EARTHQUAKES ASSOCIATED WITH THE NEWBERRY EGS INJECTION STARTING SEPTEMBER 2014

GILLIAN R. FOULGER & BRUCE R. JULIAN



Brief summary

During the last week, additional results were obtained for 10 more moment tensors. We are progressively deriving mechanisms for smaller events, but the quality of the results remains excellent in most cases. We added these additional events to our set of the highest-quality events for relative locations.

New results this week may be summarised:

- 1. The addition of more, highly accurately measured and located earthquakes to the relative location set has revealed yet more fine detail. The pair of clusters identified earlier remains a stable feature, as does their clear separation by an essentially aseismic layer. The deeper cluster shows extraordinarily sharp focusing and appears to delineate an EW-trending plane and not a NW-trending plane as thought earlier. It appears to form part of the NW-trending zone observable in map view simply because it lies somewhat to the SE of the shallower cluster. The sharpness of the planar surface apparently delineated suggests that the relative locations may be accurate to a few meters, an unusually high-quality result. This interpretation also has support in the absolute locations which are suggestive of an EW orientation in the earthquakes of the deeper, more southerly cluster.
- 2. It is emphasised that relative location results will change with variation of run-time parameters and with the addition of more earthquakes to the set. The results described here appear to be stable as the run-time parameters are varied, but some changes may occur as the work progresses and the size of the dataset increases. The geometry of structures described here should thus be viewed as interim results.
- *3. The source types of the earthquakes for which moment tensors were derived continue to range from +Dipole to -Dipole.*
- 4. A systematic variation in source type with time, during the three weeks of injection studied, is now visible in the growing data set. The proportion of crack-opening source types progressively reduces with time. During Week 1 about equal numbers of earthquakes were crack-opening and crack-closing types. The proportion of crack-opening events reduced to about 20% in Week 2 and in Week 3 none of the 9 moment tensors derived have a significant crack-opening component.
- 5. Variation in source-type with depth is also observed, with crack-opening source types more abundant and more extreme in the shallower cluster compared with the deeper cluster.

The patterns of orientation of the P-, T- and I-axes reported earlier continue to strengthen in confidence with the addition of more data. Possible variations in orientations between the shallower and the deeper cluster were sought, but no evidence could be found. This suggests that the orientation of stress axes is similar in both hypocentral volumes.

1 Task 1 – Planning, conference calls, discussion of work, correspondence, followup

We continued to maintain contact with team members as before. The work continued to run on a routine basis.

2 Task 2 – System Setup

No additional system setup was done during the last week.

3 Task 3 – Quality control of prepicked MEQs and preparation for relocation and moment tensor calculation

We continued to derive moment tensors using the procedure described in our Weekly Report #1. We report here an additional 10 moment tensors, bringing the total number derived up to 54 (

Table 1). We have provided the locations and moment-tensor decomposition data of these new moment tensors to Trenton Cladouhos of AltaRock electronically, by email attachment.

Table 1: The 54 earthquakes for which moment tensors have been obtained to date. Locations given below are from the webpage http://fracture.lbl.gov/Newberry/locations.txt.

jday	month	day	hour	minute	sec	lat	lon	depth	magnitude
272	9	29	9	57	54.34	43.7245	-121.30857	0.845	0.721
272	9	29	18	3	37.724	43.72365	-121.30658	1.274	0.669
273	9	30	9	23	48.799	43.71965	-121.30908	0.854	0.853
273	9	30	21	30	43.689	43.72667	-121.313	0.387	0.972
274	10	1	1	3	14.64	43.7239	-121.30957	0.714	0.987
274	10	1	8	8	58.215	43.72623	-121.31412	1.196	0.848
274	10	1	10	50	55.229	43.72275	-121.30868	1.051	0.787
274	10	1	12	3	16.881	43.72658	-121.3158	1.587	1.086
274	10	1	15	1	55.056	43.72775	-121.31227	0.923	0.682
274	10	1	16	56	11.256	43.72232	-121.30712	1.65	0.901
275	10	2	6	38	47.428	43.7243	-121.31328	1.153	0.951
275	10	2	6	47	52.916	43.72632	-121.31322	1.323	1.117
275	10	2	12	39	9.082	43.7264	-121.31438	1.332	0.852
275	10	2	18	53	48.447	43.72082	-121.31372	1.671	0.957
275	10	2	20	36	50.997	43.72377	-121.31323	1.499	0.991



4

276	10	3	15	27	57.912	43.72257	-121.31562	1.054	0.919
276	10	3	18	54	54.199	43.72678	-121.31125	0.647	1.021
277	10	4	5	29	8.347	43.72578	-121.31068	0.946	0.922
278	10	5	2	6	17.079	43.7266	-121.31217	0.925	0.86
278	10	5	2	14	37.358	43.72433	-121.30915	0.459	0.665
278	10	5	15	55	21.373	43.73483	-121.30918	0.702	0.695
278	10	5	16	7	32.904	43.7253	-121.30967	1.205	0.819
278	10	5	23	22	16.638	43.72368	-121.3116	1.055	0.931
279	10	6	4	2	55.851	43.72307	-121.30835	0.835	0.637
279	10	6	6	13	48.787	43.72425	-121.3097	0.638	0.604
280	10	7	6	12	8.757	43.72372	-121.31015	0.564	0.791
280	10	7	10	47	21.079	43.72403	-121.3095	1.136	0.822
282	10	9	6	24	33.517	43.72232	-121.31203	0.735	0.769
282	10	9	10	16	9.958	43.7172	-121.31332	1.378	0.722
284	10	11	3	29	5.813	43.72417	-121.31338	0.409	0.852
284	10	11	10	53	26.568	43.72493	-121.30897	1.292	0.824
285	10	12	10	12	29.727	43.7257	-121.3135	0.783	0.863
285	10	12	16	47	1.174	43.7297	-121.3126	1.07	0.681
285	10	12	18	33	4.878	43.72363	-121.30787	0.359	0.743
285	10	12	21	10	18.995	43.72783	-121.31002	0.653	0.792
286	10	13	10	22	29.146	43.7302	-121.3153	0.831	0.907
287	10	14	5	46	14.161	43.71765	-121.31087	0.161	0.904
288	10	15	15	3	44.691	43.72658	-121.30768	0.897	0.781
288	10	15	15	37	26.034	43.72713	-121.30915	0.934	0.883
289	10	16	16	53	27.596	43.72378	-121.31295	-0.186	0.736
291	10	18	23	57	3.867	43.72965	-121.31732	0.116	0.781
292	10	19	9	7	50.375	43.73525	-121.3113	0.837	0.776
272	9	29	9	57	54.34	43.7245	-121.30857	0.845	0.721
272	9	29	18	3	37.724	43.72365	-121.30658	1.274	0.669
273	9	30	9	23	48.799	43.71965	-121.30908	0.854	0.853
273	9	30	21	30	43.689	43.72667	-121.313	0.387	0.972
274	10	1	1	3	14.64	43.7239	-121.30957	0.714	0.987
274	10	1	8	8	58.215	43.72623	-121.31412	1.196	0.848
274	10	1	10	50	55.229	43.72275	-121.30868	1.051	0.787
274	10	1	12	3	16.881	43.72658	-121.3158	1.587	1.086
274	10	1	15	1	55.056	43.72775	-121.31227	0.923	0.682
274	10	1	16	56	11.256	43.72232	-121.30712	1.65	0.901
275	10	2	6	38	47.428	43.7243	-121.31328	1.153	0.951
275	10	2	6	47	52.916	43.72632	-121.31322	1.323	1.117

4 Task 4 – Improved locations and relative locations

4.1 Absolute locations

We have updated our map of events for which moment tensors were derived and the full 54-event dataset is shown in Figure 1 and Figure 2.



2014

Figure 1: High quality estimated hypocenters of 54 microearthquakes that occurred between Sept. 29 and Oct. 19, 2014, and for which moment tensors were derived. These locations are computed using



arrival times measured carefully in connection with the moment-tensor analysis. Well NWD 55-29 is shown in blue.



Figure 2: Expanded view of the locations of the earthquakes for which moment tensors were derived.

4.2 *Relative locations*

We continued with the relative location work, applying the method to the 54 earthquakes for which moment tensors have now been derived. These events are the best-located set currently available. We used the same procedure as described in earlier reports.

We explored further different run-time parameters. Different parameters are optimal for different data sets so this must be done each time a new dataset is subject to relative location. We performed about 12 trial inversions and found the following to give the best result. This is the result that shows in the most focus, patterns in the results which appear to be stable across several sets of results obtained using different run-time parameter choices.

- o *minclust*-the minimum number of earthquakes to define a cluster (a value of 8 was used);
- *maxit*-the maximum allowed number of relocation iterations (optimal value identified = 7);
- \circ *maxsep*-the maximum separation allowed between linked pairs of earthquakes (optimal value identified = 0.15 km);
- *minlinks*-the minimum number of "links" (i.e., measured station/phases in common between pairs of earthquakes) needed for an earthquake to be passed to the final relocated set (optimal values identified = 21);



7

This inversion read in the 54 original earthquakes. 25 failed the *minlinks* cut-off criterion, and a further 5 failed the *maxsep* criterion. This left the 24 highest-quality earthquakes, which fell into two clusters, one containing 16 earthquakes (the shallower cluster) and the other 8 earthquakes (the deeper cluster).

The two clusters were fixed by pinnning them to one excellently located earthquake in each cluster (i.e., a total of two earthquakes). The following were used:

- 2014 10 01 14 53 20.145 43.726509 -121.309105 0.82 0.0 0.00 0.00 0.0 11
- 2014 10 01 08 08 57.998 43.725528 -121.308941 1.21 0.0 0.00 0.00 0.0 6

The results are shown in Figure 3 to Figure 6.



Figure 3: Map of relative locations of 24 moment-tensor earthquakes that occurred in the time period 29 September - 19 October, 2014. Runtime parameters used were *minclust* = 8, *maxit* = 7, *maxsep* = 0.15 km, *minlinks* = 21.



Figure 4: Same as Figure 3 except in cross section looking north.





Figure 5: Same as Figure 3 except in cross section looking northwesterly, along the strike of the planar structure visible in map view.



Figure 6: Same as Figure 3 except in cross section looking easterly.

The relative location results continue to reveal intriguing new patterns. The results described in earlier reports are confirmed, but with increasing detail suggested. In map view (Figure 3) the epicenters delineate clear linear zone striking at ~ N 45°W. In cross section, two separate clusters are visible, separated by a depth interval ~ 200 m thick with very few earthquakes.

A cross section looking northwesterly along the strike of the surface trend (Figure 5) shows a more focused picture, with the earthquakes presenting a much narrower aspect. However, in a cross section looking due west, the lower cluster appears to be even more focused. It shows extremely sharp edges suggesting that relative locations may be accurate even to a few meters. Further investigation of this is



warrented, along with a concentrated effort to process as many of the larger earthquakes as possible in order to increase the size of this excellent subset of locations.

The relocated hypocenters were studied at some length, rotating the plot in three dimensions using the *Mathematica* software There is a suggestion that the lower cluster may occur on an EW orientated planar structure, and not on a NW-orientated one. It may be that it forms just the southeasternmost part of the NW-elongated epicentral trend observed in map view (Figure 1, Figure 2 and Figure 3) but does not itself lie on a structure with this trend. In fact, the distribution of epicenters shown in Figure 2 also suggests this, with a remarkably sharp linear array of earthquakes visible south of Well NWD 55-29.

Numerical data for these interim results have been provided to AltaRock by email attachment to Trenton Cladouhos.

5 Task 5 Moment tensor calculations

The numerical results of the entire moment-tensor catalog, including the 10 new results obtained during the last week, are given in Appendix 1. Graphical results for the additional 10 events are given in Appendix 2.

The source types for the entire 54-event set are shown in source-type space in Figure 7. The distribution remains similar to that reported earlier, i.e., source types ranging from +Dipole to -Dipole.





date.

The data set is now large enough that temporal variations in source type can be studied. Figure 8 shows source-type plots for Week 1 of the stimulation (29 September -5 October), Week 2 (6-13 October), and Week 3 (14-20 October). A systematic variation in source type is evident, with the proportion of crack-opening source types progressively reducing. During Week 1 about equal numbers were crack-opening and crack-closing types. The proportion of crack-opening events reduced to about 20% in Week 2 and in Week 3 none of the 9 moment tensors derived have a significant crack-opening component.







Figure 8: Top: Moment tensors for Week 1 of the stimulation (29 September -5 October), Middle: Moment tensors for Week 2 of the stimulation (6-13 October), Bottom: Moment tensors for Week 3 of the stimulation (14-20 October).

Figure 9 shows the source types divided up by depth. The upper panel shows source types in the shallower group of earthquakes observed in the relative locations (e.g., Figure 6), and the lower panel shows events in the deeper group. Both sets of earthquakes have source types that extend almost to the -Dipole point (crack closing) but the shallower group has more sources close to the +Dipole point, indicating crack opening. These extreme crack-opening source types are both more numerous and more extreme in the shallower event group.



Figure 9: Top: Source types of earthquakes shallower than 1 km b.s.l., Bottom: Source types of earthquakes deeper than 1 km b.s.l.

Figure 10 shows a plot of the P-, T- and I-axes, approximately corresponding to the directions of σ_1 , σ_3 and σ_2 . The addition of more earthquakes has strengthened the distribution seen earlier. Most T axes cluster systematically subhorizontally and to the S \pm 20° or so. The orientations of the P-axes show some clustering in a sub-horizontal orientation to the NNE-ENE directions.

Figure 11 shows P-, T-, and I-axes for the earthquakes that located deeper than 1 km only, i.e. events in the lower group identified in the relative locations. The distribution is similar, overall, to the events as a whole. This suggests that there is no evidence in the moment-tensor dataset obtained to date for a rotation of the stress axes with depth in the stimulated volume.



Figure 10: Plot of pressure $(P \sim \sigma_1)$ and tension $(T \sim \sigma_3)$ and intermediate $(I \sim \sigma_2)$ axes for the 44 earthquakes for which moment tensors have been derived to date.





Figure 11: Plot of pressure (P ~ σ_1) and tension (T ~ σ_3) and intermediate (I ~ σ_2) axes for the 11 earthquakes for which moment tensors have been derived that were located deeper than 1 km depth.

6 Brief summary statement

During the last week, additional results were obtained for 10 more moment tensors. We are progressively deriving mechanisms for smaller events, but the quality of the results remains excellent in most cases. We added these additional events to our set of the highest-quality events for relative locations.

New results this week may be summarised:

- 6. The addition of more, highly accurately measured and located earthquakes to the relative location set has revealed yet more fine detail. The pair of clusters identified earlier remains a stable feature, as does their clear separation by an essentially aseismic layer. The deeper cluster shows extraordinarily sharp focusing and appears to delineate an EW-trending plane and not a NW-trending plane as thought earlier. It appears to form part of the NW-trending zone observable in map view simply because it lies somewhat to the SE of the shallower cluster. The sharpness of the planar surface apparently delineated suggests that the relative locations may be accurate to a few meters, an unusually high-quality result. This interpretation also has support in the absolute locations which are suggestive of an EW orientation in the earthquakes of the deeper, more southerly cluster.
- 7. It is emphasised that relative location results will change with variation of run-time parameters and with the addition of more earthquakes to the set. The results described here appear to be stable as the run-time parameters are varied, but some changes may occur as the work progresses and the size of the dataset increases. The geometry of structures described here should thus be viewed as interim results.
- 8. The source types of the earthquakes for which moment tensors were derived continue to range from +Dipole to -Dipole.
- 9. A systematic variation in source type with time, during the three weeks of injection studied, is now visible in the growing data set. The proportion of crack-opening source types progressively reduces with time. During Week 1 about equal numbers of earthquakes were crack-opening and crack-closing types. The proportion of crack-opening events reduced to about 20% in Week 2 and in Week 3 none of the 9 moment tensors derived have a significant crack-opening component.
- 10. Variation in source-type with depth is also observed, with crack-opening source types more abundant and more extreme in the shallower cluster compared with the deeper cluster.
- 11. The patterns of orientation of the P-, T- and I-axes reported earlier continue to strengthen in confidence with the addition of more data. Possible variations in orientations between the shallower and the deeper cluster were sought, but no evidence could be found. This suggests that the orientation of stress axes is similar in both hypocentral volumes.

NN	NE	EE	ND	ED	מס	¥еа	м	Da	н	mi	Sec	Quality
						r	0	У	r	n		2
1.578e-	3.466e-	6.671e-	2.482e-	6.317e-	8.338e-	201	1	01	1	53	05.23	excelle
01	02	02		02	02	4	0	0.1	4	0.5	16 54	nt
2.1/2e- 01	-3.0/30-	-0.41/e-	2.3466-	7.204e- 02	3.184e- 02	201	1	01	0	05	10.54	excelle nt
8.713e-	1.262e-	-4.193e-	1.814e-	8.429e-	8.722e-	201	1	04	1	51	12.00	excelle
02	01	02	01	02	02	4	0	• 1	8	51	12.00	nt
-1.029e-	1.325e-	-1.185e-	1.480e-	5.508e-	1.074e-	201	1	04	1	32	52.76	excelle
01	01	01	01	02	01	4	0		7			nt
-1.165e-	1.705e-	-1.989e-	1.394e-	-2.430e-	1.639e-	201	1	02	0	07	04.16	excelle
01	01	01	01	02	02	4	0	0.2	7	0.1	42 20	nt
2.406e-	-/.298e-	-9./89e-	1./31e-	4.29/e-	8.349e-	201	1	02	1	01	42.38	excelle
-1.461e-	9.643e-	-3.9786-	2.595e-	1.691e-	-4.693e-	201	1	02	0	47	52.94	excelle
02	02	01	02	01	03	4	0	02	6	17	52.51	nt
6.066e-	-2.231e-	-9.157e-	1.941e-	3.367e-	-6.184e-	201	1	03	0	06	22.76	excelle
03	01	02	01	02	04	4	0		6			nt
-5.772e-	-1.655e-	-1.427e-	1.464e-	7.811e-	-1.952e-	201	1	03	1	54	53.93	fair
02	01	01	01	02	02	4	0		8			
2.004e-	-1.410e-	-1.461e-	1.400e-	-8.713e-	7.412e-	201	1	01	1	03	16.94	good
01 5 30/e-	01	UI -1 1750-	01 1 615e-	03 7 508e-	2 2060-	4 201	1	05	2	07	20	evcelle
02	0.7836-	-1.1/5e-	01	02	01	201	0	05	4	07	20	nt
-1.777e-	-1.053e-	-1.512e-	7.111e-	1.063e-	1.057e-	201	1	01	0	03	14.49	excelle
01	01	01	02	01	01	4	0		1			nt
-2.667e-	1.320e-	-6.399e-	6.063e-	1.031e-	7.787e-	201	0	30	2	30	43.50	excelle
01	01	02	02	01	02	4	9		1		3	nt
-1.871e-	8.995e-	-9.473e-	-1.446e-	-2.491e-	1.992e-	201	1	05	2	22	16.49	good
01	02	02	01	02	01	4	0		3		9	
1.684e-	-3.350e-	-9.826e-	2.952e-	3.542e-	9.350e-	201	1	04	0	29	08.25	fair
01	02	03	01	02	02	4	0	0.2	5	07	8	
2.449e-	-8.111e-	-1.9/2e-	1./41e- 01	1.624e- 02	1.50/e-	201	1	03	1	27	5/.00 1	good
-2.209e-	-8.132e-	-2.190e-	-1.520e-	3.521e-	2.201e-	201	1	01	1	56	11.34	boop
01	02	02	01	02	01	4	0	01	6	50	3	good
1.477e-	-1.175e-	-1.492e-	1.577e-	-3.130e-	9.546e-	201	1	01	Ő	08	57.99	excelle
01	01	01	01	02	02	4	0		8		8	nt
-3.263e-	2.220e-	-3.373e-	1.644e-	7.162e-	9.879e-	201	1	01	1	50	55.10	excelle
02	01	01	02	02	03	4	0		0		7	nt
-1.038e-	1.463e-	-2.541e-	1.246e-	-1.332e-	7.335e-	201	1	01	1	01	54.95	excelle
01	01	01	01	02	02	4	0		5		0	nt
2.306e-	-1.802e-	-9.214e-	2.203e-	-4.354e-	9.593e-	201	1	02	1	54	03.15	good
1 6196-	4 2000-	-2 0416-	2 1580-	$-2 044e^{-1}$	7 7596-	201	1	02	0	30	02 99	evcelle
01	02	01	01	02	02	4	0	02	6	55	8	nt
-6.570e-	-1.851e-	-1.140e-	1.691e-	4.183e-	2.826e-	201	1	02	1	39	24.31	good
02	01	01	01	02	02	4	0		2		7	2
1.420e-	-1.373e-	-1.638e-	1.721e-	1.076e-	5.384e-	201	1	02	2	37	06.04	good
01	01	01	01	02	02	4	0		0		3	
-1.365e-	-1.837e-	-5.911e-	1.611e-	-1.124e-	9.224e-	201	1	05	0	06	16.96	excelle
01	01	02	01	02	02	4	0		2		7	nt
2.8666-	-3./0/e-	-1./8/e-	9.263e-	1.263e-	2.268e-	201	1	05	1 6	07	32.11	excelle
-2 2860-	1 6070-	-7 2096-	-9 2816-	8 0070-	-3 2160-	4 201	1	05	1	55	21 00	good
01	01	02	02	02	02	201	0	05	5	55	21.00	yoou
-1.352e-	-1.174e-	-4.098e-	1.996e-	-5.345e-	8.302e-	201	1	12	1	12	29	qood
01	01	02	01	02	02	4	0		0			,
-2.211e-	1.542e-	4.959e-	9.042e-	8.191e-	7.603e-	201	1	12	2	10	23.31	good
01	01	02	02	02	02	4	0		1		1	
-4.882e-	-1.017e-	5.620e-	5.965e-	-1.844e-	1.292e-	201	1	12	1	37	43.28	excelle
01	01	02	02	03	01	4	0		6		7	nt

Appendix 1: Numerical moment tensor results for the 54 MEQs studied to date. N=North, E=East, D=Down.



-5.873e-	-1.252e-	-2.804e-	6.116e-	1.409e-	6.331e-	201	1	13	0	57	06.71	good
02	01	01	02	01	03	4	0		0		7	
2.607e-	-1.181e-	-2.888e-	8.025e-	1.234e-	4.154e-	201	1	13	0	12	29.12	excelle
02	01	01	02	01	02	4	0		4		6	nt
-1.162e-	-1.387e-	-1.174e-	1.514e-	5.536e-	7.558e-	201	1	13	1	22	29.08	excelle
01	01	01	01	02	02	4	0		0		4	nt
-1.128e-	-2.729e-	-2.406e-	5.661e-	5.175e-	3.753e-	201	1	14	0	46	13.91	exellen
01 5 1010	02	01	02	02	01	4	1	1 5	5	27	25 04	t
-5.101e-	-1./566-	-8.505e-	1.953e-	1.2/6e-	1.195e-	201	1	15	I E	37	25.94	excelle
02	1 6000	2 2420	1 5660	U3 5 4220	01 7 9570	4	1	15	2 1	0.2		IIt
-1.20/e-	-1.6996-	3.343e- 02	1.5000-	-5.422e-	/.85/e-	201	1	15	5	03	44.00	excerre
6 4920	0 1050	2 8420	6 7360	1 4520	3 5770	4 201	0	30	0	22	19 62	rood
0.4920-	-9.4950-	-2.0420-	0.7502-	01	-3.3776-	201	Q Q	30	Q Q	23	40.02	goou
-1 1260-	-1 3560-	3 3470-	1 5570-	-5 8290-	1 5500-	201	1	11	0	20	05 66	hoop
01	-1.5508-	03	01	-3.0298-	01	201	0	11	3	29	7	good
3.707e-	3.866e-	-3.573e-	5.614e-	2.090e-	2.164e-	201	1	11	1	53	26.50	hoon
0.3	02	01	02	01	02	4	0		0	55	20100	good
4.380e-	2.443e-	-1.804e-	4.365e-	9.993e-	-2.176e-	201	1	07	1	47	20.91	dood
02	01	01	02	02	05	4	0	• •	0		6	yoou
2.443e-	7.095e-	-2.428e-	-8.639e-	-1.620e-	3.127e-	201	1	09	0	24	33.41	excelle
02	02	02	02	01	01	4	0		6		8	nt
-4.203e-	-1.463e-	-3.196e-	-5.380e-	1.433e-	5.826e-	201	1	18	2	57	03.69	good
02	01	01	04	01	02	4	0		3		5	2
-1.860e-	8.584e-	-2.758e-	1.397e-	-1.349e-	6.014e-	201	1	19	0	07	50.32	good
01	02	01	01	02	02	4	0		9		5	
2.027e-	-2.424e-	-3.047e-	1.709e-	-4.330e-	1.575e-	201	1	12	1	33	04.69	moderat
01	02	01	01	02	02	4	0		8		3	е
1.319e-	1.004e-	-3.874e-	3.120e-	9.894e-	1.908e-	201	1	07	0	12	08.59	good
01	01	01	02	02	02	4	0		6		3	
-4.011e-	-1.326e-	-3.893e-	1.173e-	1.595e-	6.345e-	201	1	16	1	53	27.37	good
01	01	03	01	02	02	4	0		6		4	
7.443e-	8.687e-	-6.603e-	-1.453e-	-9.859e-	1.981e-	201	1	09	1	16	09.94	moderat
02	02	02	01	02	01	4	0		0		5	e
1.913e-	-1.220e-	-8.473e-	1.936e-	2.385e-	4.506e-	201	0	29	0	57	54.15	excelle
01	01	02	01	02	02	4	9	20	9	0.2	8	nt
4.9996-	-1.926e-	-1.244e-	1./54e-	2.482e-	3.990e-	201	0	29	1 L	03	3/.00	excelle
02	01	01	01	02	02	4	1	10	1	47	01 12	IIT
4.020e-	-1.230e-	-2.565e-	1.010e-	1.9686-	9.601e-	201	T	12	ſ	47	01.13	excerre
2 4480	1 8790	1 2220	1 6800	0 6700	1 102	4 201	1	05	0	1 /	27 16	
-2.4400-	-1.0/90-	-1.233e-	01	9.0790-	01	201	1	05	2	14	37.10 8	excerre n+
-8 0610-	-9 3000-	-2 6700-	6 0130-	1 6290-	-2 0380-	201	1	06	0	02	55 78	good
02	02	01	02	01	02	201	0	00	4	02	9.10	yoou
-2.166e-	-2.314e-	4.005e-	5.036e-	7.205e-	3.578e-	201	1	02	1	12	35,31	weak
01	01	02	02	02	02	4	0		6	12	5	weak
-3.561e-	1.589e-	1.186e-	5.145e-	2.877e-	4.702e-	201	1	06	õ	13	48.62	excelle
01	01	01	02	02	02		õ		6		6	n+



Appendix 2: The additional nine moment tensors derived over the reporting week.







2 NN07

3 NN07

4 NN09

5 NN09

8 NN17 9 NN18

10 NN18

11 NN18

12 NN19

13 NN19

14 NN21

Sta

1 NN07 2 NN09

4 NN09

5 NN17 6 NN18

7 NN18

8 NN18 9 NN19

P:SV P:SH

P:SH

P:SH

0.038 0.096



P:SH

P:SV

SV:SH

21











0.0										rs/foulger/Seis	micProcessin	(Newborn//Data/2014/00/20/	0140020180227 or	
0			10											
\swarrow														
201	4 Sep 29	18: 3:3	7.660	UTC	anth:	1 050						North East	Down 1 1.75e-01	+V
Lat.	43:43.5	798 N	121	:18.60	042 W	1.039				Solve		East -1.93e-01 -1.24e-0	1 2.48e-02	+Crack
												Down 1.75e-01 2.48e-0	2 3.99e-02	+Dipol
												Scalar M0 = 2.798e-01		Dipole
	Sta	Dist	Az	i	Chan	Phase	Resid	Polarity	Penalty	Amp	Freq	T = 0.046 k = -0.039		Crack
1	VM03	2.96	12	123	EHU	Р	0.015	I -		✓ -1.19e+01	4.12e+01	Total Penalty = 0.191		-V
2	VM06	0.82	104	160	EHU	Р	0.031	🗹 +		✓ 7.41e+01	1.94e+01			
3	VM22	0.15	143	176	EHU	Р	-0.008	⊻ +		✓ 6.25e+01	1.58e+01		POLARITIES	
4	VM22	0.15	143	176	EHR	SV	0.075	+		9.32e+02	1.18e+01			
5	NM22	2.67	143	116	EHT	SH	0.084	<u> </u>		✓ -4.15e+02	1.33e+01			
6	NM42	3.67	43	116	EHU	P	0.003	⊻ -		✓ -5.35e+01	2.72e+01	P A	SH	SV I
7	NM42	3.05	337	120	EHI	5H	0.002	<u> </u>		-3.98e+02	1.340+01			
8		1.96	293	135	EHU	P	0.019	<u> </u>	0.005	2 46e+00	3.020+01		(-7 + -)	F.A V
9	NN09	1.96	293	135	EHB	SV	0.016	1 +	0.000	2.52e+02	1.75e+01		\bigvee \land /	(\cdot)
10	NN09	1.96	293	135	EHT	SH	0.054	1 +	0.000	✓ 1.36e+02	3.87e+01			
12	NN17	1.54	246	143	EHU	P	-0.008	√ +		✓ 7.53e+00	2.06e+01		\smile	
13	NN17	1.54	246	143	EHR	sv	0.036	1 +		9.19e+01	3.45e+01			
14	NN17	1.54	246	143	EHT	SH	0.038	+		✓ 2.83e+02	9.92e+00		SN	SE
10	NN18	1.41	27	146	FHU	Р	-0.015	J -		I -2.34e+01	1 72e+01		P	P
	Sta	Туре		Penalty	/								(+ + -)	
1	NM42	P:S	н											
2	NN09	P:S	V	0.005										
3	NN09	✓ P:S	н	0.006	_									\checkmark
4	NN09	✓ sv	:SH	0.025	_									
5	NN17	✓ P:S	v		_								AMPLITUDE RATIOS	
6	NN17	P:5	H	0.041	-									
7	NIN17	SV O De	SH		-							ABrz	NBR	
8	VIN10	- P:3	H									P:SH	P:SV	SV:SH
9	NN24	✓ P.9	v		-								A stated	
10	NN24	✓ P:S	н		-							4	4455 25 844	
12	NN24	√ sv	:SH		-									
13	NN32	✓ P:S	V	0.026	-							A BAY		
14	NN32	✓ P:S	н		1								No.	CO P L
10	NN32	J sv	SH	0.061	1									



















